ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Joseph L. Schwartz
Partner

<u>Direct:</u> 973.451.8506 jschwartz@riker.com Reply to: Morristown

November 8, 2010

Via Electronic Filing

Hon. Kathryn C. Ferguson. U.S.B.J. United States Bankruptcy Court Clarkson S. Fischer US Courthouse 402 East State Street Trenton, NJ 08608

Re: Raritan Hospitality, LLC Case No. 10-42099 (KCF)

Dear Judge Ferguson:

Please accept this letter on behalf of Raritan Hospitality, LLC (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), in reply to the November 5, 2010 letter (the "<u>Sur-Reply</u>") submitted by Lifco Hotels, LLC ("<u>Lifco</u>") and in further support of the Debtor's motion to use cash collateral (the "<u>Cash Collateral Motion</u>").

In its Sur-Reply, Lifco fails to address the single most important issue before this Court, to wit, whether the Debtor's hotel room revenues are "rents" subject to real property law, or are "accounts" subject to the Uniform Commercial Code. As the Debtor set forth in detail in its October 31st reply (the "Reply"), resolution of this issue in Lifco's favor is a prerequisite for this Court to consider the bulk of Lifco's objection. It also requires the Court to adopt the flawed policy arguments advanced by Lifco, which never perfected its security interest in the Debtor's personalty, rather than follow the legal arguments put forth by the Debtor and adopted by the vast majority of courts that have addressed the issue.

Rather than address whether the Debtor's hotel room receipts are rents or accounts, Lifco instead attempts to convince this Court not to apply the binding legal precedent set forth by the New Jersey Supreme Court in Metlife Capital Financial Corp. v. Washington Ave. Assocs., L.P., 159 N.J. 484, 503 (1999), where the Supreme Court -- whose opinion is controlling on this issue -- diverged from the interpretation of New Jersey law regarding absolute assignments of rents set forth in In re Jason Realty, L.P., 59 F.3d 423 (3d Cir. 1995).

www.riker.com

Case 10-42099-KCF Doc 28 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/08/10 18:16:05 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 4

Hon. Kathryn C. Ferguson. U.S.B.J. November 8, 2010 Page 2

In <u>Jason Realty</u>, which was decided in 1995, the Third Circuit found that an absolute assignment transfers title to the assignee upon execution of the assignment, based upon New Jersey court opinions in <u>New Jersey Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. v. Wolf</u>, 108 N.J. Eq. 412 (N.J. Ch. 1931) and <u>Paramount Bldg. & Loan Ass'n of City of Newark v. Sacks</u>, 107 N.J. Eq. 328 (N.J. Ch. 1930). <u>See Jason Realty</u>, 59 F.3d at 427. In 1999, after <u>Jason Realty</u> was decided, the New Jersey Supreme Court, in apparent disagreement with <u>Paramount Bldg. & Loan</u>, <u>New Jersey Nat'l Bank</u>, and <u>Jason Realty</u>, stated unequivocally, that "[u]nder an absolute assignment of rents provision, title to the rents passes to the assignee upon default." <u>Metlife Capital Financial Corp.</u>, 159 N.J. at 503. This determination represents the current state of New Jersey law on the topic.

Further, contrary to Lifco's misstatements in its Sur-Reply, there was no pre-petition default by the Debtor. While Lifco attempted to declare a default prior to the Petition Date, as was set forth in the Reply, Lifco's arguments were rejected by the State Court, which found that no default had occurred. Furthermore, as was set forth in the Reply, the Mortgage herein did not provide for the immediate divestiture of the Debtor's rights to collect rents upon default, but instead required affirmative action to be taken by Lifco to effectuate this divestiture, which action Lifco never successfully took. Consequently, even if the Mortgage contains an absolute assignment, which it does not, the Debtor's interest in the rents remained as of the Petition Date.

Finally, Lifco again suggests in its Sur-Reply that the Debtor's franchisor may not be supportive of the Debtor's reorganization efforts. Attached as Exhibit "A" is a letter from the Debtor's franchisor further reflecting its support for those efforts.

Based upon the foregoing, as well as the arguments previously advanced by the Debtor, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter a Final Order granting the Cash Collateral Motion, in the form to be submitted to the Court by the Debtor.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph L. Schwartz Joseph L. Schwartz

Enclosure

cc: (via e-mail)
Jack M. Zackin, Esq.
Valerie Hamilton, Esq.
4088033.1

Exhibit A



InterContinental Hotels Group Three Ravinia Drive Suite 100 Atlanta, GA 30346-2149

www.ichhotelsgroup.com

Keith Biumi Regional Vice President
Upscale Franchising & Development

Direct Tel: (770) 604-5079 Direct Fax: (770) 604-8816 keith.biumi@ichotelsgroup.com

November 7th 2010

Gulshan Chhabra Raritan Hospitality, LLC 3050 Woodbridge Avenue Edison East NJ 08837

Dear Gulshan,

I am in support of Raritan's reorganization efforts, and willing to work with Raritan and agree to extend the Holiday Inn franchise until March 31, 2011.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Keith Biumi

Kel Bin

Regional Vice President Sales Hotel Indigo and Crowne Plaza









